

AD-A248 743



ATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

2

Pub  
gati  
coll  
Dev

average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this form to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Avenue, Washington, DC 20540.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 18 March 92		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED ANNUAL 15 Jan 91 - 14 Jan 92	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Reference Frames in Vision				5. FUNDING NUMBERS AFOSR-91-0332 PE 61102F PR 2313 TA A9	
6. AUTHOR(S) Mary M. Hayhoe					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Rochester Center for Visual Science 274 Meliora Hall Rochester, NY 14627-0270				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 5-27959 AFOSR-TR-92 0204	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Dr John F. Tangney AFOSR/NL Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER DTIC ELECTE APR 16 1992	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  The goal of this project is to examine the consequences of observer motion for visual function. The research has focussed on two issues: One issue is how a grossly time-varying retinal input (because of eye, head, and body motion) results in the perception of a continuous and directionally stable visual world. A second issue concerns how the information in successive views is related, and the nature of the visual information retained from previous views. Understanding these processes is important for a wide variety of visuo-motor tasks.  In the past year progress has been made on the following six projects. 1) The role of the visual scene and eye position signals in visual stability. 2) The role of attention in integrating across saccades. 3) Reference frames for spatial memory. 4) Hand-eye coordination during complex tasks. 5) Detectability of changes during saccades. 6) Short term visual memory of complex scenes.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 5	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT (U)	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (U)	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT (U)	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT (U)		

## GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to *stay within the lines* to meet optical scanning requirements.

**Block 1. Agency Use Only (Leave blank).**

**Block 2. Report Date.** Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.

**Block 3. Type of Report and Dates Covered.** State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 Jun 87 - 30 Jun 88).

**Block 4. Title and Subtitle.** A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.

**Block 5. Funding Numbers.** To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

C - Contract	PR - Project
G - Grant	TA - Task
PE - Program Element	WU - Work Unit Accession No.

**Block 6. Author(s).** Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).

**Block 7. Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es).** Self-explanatory.

**Block 8. Performing Organization Report Number.** Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization performing the report.

**Block 9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es).** Self-explanatory.

**Block 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number.** (If known)

**Block 11. Supplementary Notes.** Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with...; Trans. of...; To be published in.... When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.

**Block 12a. Distribution/Availability Statement.** Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN, REL, ITAR).

DOD - See DoDD 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

DOE - See authorities.

NASA - See Handbook NHB 2200.2.

NTIS - Leave blank.

**Block 12b. Distribution Code.**

DOD - Leave blank.

DOE - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports.

NASA - Leave blank.

NTIS - Leave blank.

**Block 13. Abstract.** Include a brief (Maximum 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.

**Block 14. Subject Terms.** Keywords or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.

**Block 15. Number of Pages.** Enter the total number of pages.

**Block 16. Price Code.** Enter appropriate price code (NTIS only).

**Blocks 17. - 19. Security Classifications.** Self-explanatory. Enter U.S. Security Classification in accordance with U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.

**Block 20. Limitation of Abstract.** This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.

## **Annual Technical Report: AFOSR No 91-0332. Reference Frames in Vision**

**15 Jan 91 - 14 Jan 92**

The goal of this project is to examine the consequences of observer motion for visual function. The research has focussed on two issues: One issue is how a grossly time-varying retinal input (because of eye, head, and body motion) results in the perception of a continuous and directionally stable visual world. A second issue concerns how the information in successive views is related, and the nature of the visual information retained from previous views. Understanding these processes is important for a wide variety of visuo-motor tasks.

### **SUMMARY OF RESEARCH**

In the past year progress has been made on the following six projects.

#### **1) The role of the visual scene and eye position signals in visual stability.**

In collaboration with Jeff Pelz, I have developed a novel technique, using the perceived movement of afterimages of complex scenes, to demonstrate that the stability of the visual scene depends on the nature of the visual context. In the dark, the position of a single object is computed using eye position information. In the light, however, the experiment shows that stationarity is attributed to the visual scene, despite eye position information to the contrary. This technique is relatively easy to use and has a lot of potential for exploring the properties of the visual scene which determine its relative weight in the choice of a stable reference frame. (This technique was described in the original proposal Experiment 3B.) This work was reported at ARVO in 1991 and we are currently preparing a manuscript.

#### **2) The role of attention in integrating across saccades.**

This work is in collaboration with Joel Lachter, a graduate student partially funded by the project. It is a modified version of Experiment 6 in the proposal. In an earlier experiment we demonstrated that the perception of form can be achieved whether or not the eye is stationary, and that very precise spatial relationships can be computed across different eye positions. We have now demonstrated that this ability requires attention. This suggests that only a sparse representation of the visual scene is maintained across saccades. (This is consistent with current computational 'active vision' approaches.) The work has been reported at ARVO 1991, and a manuscript is in progress.

#### **3) Reference frames for spatial memory.**

Spatial memory plays a crucial but relatively unexplored role in much of our motoric interaction with the world. Previous work (described in Experiment 10 in the proposal) has demonstrated the use of both body centered and object centered reference frames in encoding the positions of objects. In this experiment Keith Karn, Per Moeller and I explored whether object centered reference frames have the advantage of being more robust across a series of

91-0332-76

changes in eye position. Surprisingly, both forms of encoding appeared to be equally robust. This has implications for the source and precision of the eye position information. We estimate that information about eye position in a head centered reference frame is available to the visual system with a standard deviation of less than 1.4 degrees. This work was reported at ARVO 1991 and a manuscript has been accepted for publication in the proceedings of the 6th European Conference on Eye Movements.

#### **4) Hand-eye coordination during complex tasks**

Many of the questions under investigation in this grant can be asked most naturally in the context of ongoing 'normal' behavior. In collaboration with Dana Ballard, Steve Whitehead, and Feng Li, I am exploring performance of a complex visuo-motor task with the goal of building a computational model which accurately reflects human performance. The subject's task is to copy a pattern of colored blocks on a computer screen using the mouse to move blocks around the display. Performance appears to conform to a relatively stereotyped sequence of actions. Computations for each block tend to be kept separate. Although some trials reveal the use of visual memory from previous trials, the modal response pattern points to the use of minimal memory in performing the task. Information is apparently acquired only just before it is needed. Such a strategy is compatible with recent computational models of robot performance which use deictic representations to selectively index the parts of the scene that are currently relevant to the task. This has proved vastly more efficient than conventional models which exhaustively represent the properties and locations of all the objects in the scene. The task also reveals other more detailed aspects of hand-eye coordination, not covered in prior experimental protocols. We observe here that Ss chose to make nearly simultaneous movements to disparate hand and eye targets. Such movements cannot be programmed by a single central motor command, as has been suggested from observations in simpler paradigms. We are currently exploring the reference frame used to guide the eye movements when putting down the blocks, and the nature of the memory representations used in performing the task. The work will be reported at ARVO this year, and a manuscript with preliminary observations is in progress.

#### **5) Detectability of changes during saccades.**

In a more formal investigation of the nature of the visual information retained from previous views, I have begun work on a project designed to measure the detectability of changes in shape, color, and position of objects in a multiobject display when the changes occur during a saccadic eye movement. We are investigating the nature of the visual information preserved from the immediately preceding fixation.

#### **6) Short term visual memory of complex scenes.**

Jeff Pelz has developed a technique for viewing a normal scene (reflective surfaces) through a variable sized aperture which moves with the eyes. He and Greg Zelinsky (Brown University) are currently working on a project which examines the nature of the information retained from a short view of a scene. This new version of the aperture viewing technique has a range of interesting applications for testing the richness of the representation of previously and currently viewed scenes.

## RELEVANT PUBLICATIONS

1. Hayhoe, M., Lachter, J., Feldman, J. (1991) Integration of form across saccadic eye movements. *Perception*, 20 393-402.
2. Hayhoe, M.M., Lachter, J. & Moeller, P. (1992) Spatial memory and integration across saccadic eye movements. In K. Rayner (Ed.), *Eye Movements & Visual Cognition*. Springer-Verlag. (in press)
3. Karn, K., Moeller, P., and Hayhoe, M. Precision of the eye position signal. (1992) To appear in Van Rensbergen, J. & d'Ydewalle, G. (Eds.), *Studies in Visual Attention*. North Holland.
4. Ballard, D., Hayhoe, M., & Whitehead, S. (1992) Hand-Eye coordination during sequential tasks. To appear in *Proc Roy Soc B*.

## MANUSCRIPTS IN PREPARATION

1. Moeller, P., Hayhoe, M., Ballard, D., Albano, J. Saccades to remembered visual targets and the perception of spatial position. (in preparation).
2. Lachter, J., Hayhoe, M. & Feldman, J. Capacity limits in the integration of information across saccades. (in preparation)
3. Pelz, J. & Hayhoe, M. Influence of the visual scene in space constancy. (in preparation)

## PRESENTATIONS AT SCIENTIFIC MEETINGS

1991, K. Karn, P. Moeller, and M. Hayhoe, "Eye Movements to Remembered Targets; Disruption by Intervening Saccades." Paper presented at meeting of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, Sarasota, Florida.

1991, J. B. Pelz and M. M. Hayhoe, "Influence of the Visual Scene and Eye Position Signals in Space Constancy." Paper presented at meeting of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, Sarasota, Florida.

1991, J. Lachter, M. Hayhoe, and J. Feldman, "Capacity Limitations in the Integration of Information Across Saccades." Paper presented at meeting of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, Sarasota, Florida.

## PERSONNEL

In the past year six graduate students have participated in this project. They are supported by a combination of funds from this grant, an NIH training grant, and University funds. The students are Joel Lachter, Per Moeller, Keith Karn, Jeff Pelz, Brady Duga, and Feng Li. In the

coming year Lachter, Moeller, Karn, and Pelz will continue to work on the project. In addition to this, a graduate student from Brown University, Greg Zelinsky, visited for two months under our Summer Fellowship program. Steve Whitehead joined the project in November as a post doctoral fellow after completing a degree in Computer Science at the University of Rochester with Dana Ballard.

## EQUIPMENT

A MacIIx, Super Mac large screen monitor, 24 bit color board, and cartridge drive have been purchased. This is being used in conjunction with one of the DPI trackers for experiments where a large display is important.

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

